SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED

JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015



TABLE OF CONTENTS

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	<u>Page</u> 1 - 3
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	4 - 10
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
Government-wide Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position	11
Statement of Activities	12
Statement of Cash Flows	13
Fund Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet- Governmental Funds	14
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet	
to the Statement of Net Position	15
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and	
Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds	16
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues,	
Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	
of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	17
Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets	18
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets	19
Statement of Restricted Net Assets	20
Statement of Changes in Restricted Net Assets	21
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in the Fund	
Balance - Budget and Actual - Governmental Funds	22
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	23 - 37
ROSTER OF BOARD MEMBERS	38
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	39
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:	
Schedule of CalPERS	40
NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTAL AND REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION	41
Independent Auditor's Report of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance and Other Matters Based On an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	42 - 43
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance for Each Major Program And	
On Internal Control Over Compliance Required by The Uniform Guidance	44 - 45
Schedule of Auditor's Results Findings Questioned Costs and Auditor's Results	46

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Directors of Sonoma Resource Conservation District Santa Rosa, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Sonoma Resource Conservation District, as of and for the years ended June 30 2016 and 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Sonoma Resource Conservation District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Sonoma Resource Conservation District, as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, and the respective changes in financial position, and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information on pages 4-10 and 22 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Sonoma Resource Conservation District's basic financial statements. The schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards*, and is also not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and the schedule of expenditures of federal awards are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements.



Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and the schedule of expenditures of federal awards are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated June 30, 2016 and 2015, on our consideration of the Sonoma Resource Conservation District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Sonoma Resource Conservation District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Goranson and Associates, Inc.

January 11, 2017 Santa Rosa, California

Goranson and Associates, Inc.

January 22, 2018 Santa Rosa, California



SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

As management of the Sonoma Resource Conservation District (District) we offer readers of the District's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the District for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the District's financial statements (pages 11-22) and the accompanying notes to the basic financial statements (pages 23-37).

Financial Highlights

- The assets of the District exceeded its liabilities at the close of the 2016 and 2015 fiscal years by \$1,404,870 and \$1,281,644 (net position). Of this amount, there is unrestricted net position of \$148,900 and \$147,801.
- The District total net position increased by \$123,226 and decreased by \$(363,474) in the 2016 and 2015 fiscal years. This increase is a result of revenues exceeding expenses in the governmental activities and the decrease is because of the prior period restatement to include the full net pension liability.
- As of the close of the 2016 and 2015 fiscal years, the District's governmental fund reported an ending total fund balance of \$1,716,072 and \$1,593,330 of which \$598,629 and \$475,887 were unassigned. The entire unassigned fund balance is available for spending (unassigned fund balance).
- The District's total debt (including short- and long-term liabilities) was \$645,804 and \$698,400 at the 2016 and 2015 fiscal years.
- The District had revenue of \$1,357,340 and \$2,194,390 in grant contracts or other major awards during the 2016 and 2015 fiscal years.

Financial Statements

The District receives a small amount of annual funding generated from property taxes from a Sonoma County General Fund appropriation. In 2016 and 2015, the District received approximately \$108,376 and \$108,458 in ad valorem tax income.

The District leveraged approximately \$1,860,866 and \$2,278,595 of monies from grants and local contributions with their property tax dollars from the 2016 and 2015 fiscal years. The District was able to respond to requests and needs of local stakeholders with these funds. Some of the services we provide include: liaison with other agencies and resources, on-site consultations and assistance, funding for conservation projects, advocacy for landowners, input to policy discussion at the local, state, and federal levels, and a local office open to the public for technical advice.

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

Financial Statements, continued

The majority of funding comes from competitively sought government grants. Occasionally we receive funds from local foundations and other private sources.

- The District's balance in net position on June 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$1,404,870 and \$1.281.644.
- The District's major funds are program revenues which include grants totaling \$1,357,340 and \$2,194,930 for the 2016 and 2015 fiscal years. Less State resources were available to meet financing needs due to the status of the State's bond freeze and general state of the economy. Competition for grant funding has significantly increased as more groups compete for limited funds.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), our federal partner, granted the
 District a small contribution agreement for clerical assistance on federal Farm Bill programs.
 The District, co-housed with our federal partner, provides support through in-kind services,
 contributing to office reception, general maintenance and record keeping duties to assist
 NRCS financially. The District continues to provide office supplies and minor equipment to
 NRCS staff.
- The District currently receives a greater proportion of funding support from local sources, primarily the Sonoma County Water Agency. The District participates in prioritizing and advising flood control tax fund allocation and has been awarded numerous grants to accomplish mutual goals and provide needed services in our District. No indication exists that this trend will change; however, SCWA revenues have decreased along with, the amount of funding available.
- The District expects to continue operating with a greater percentage of local funds rather
 than state and federal funding. Our partnerships with county groups and agencies allow us
 to work collaboratively and provide services to meet the mutual goals and objectives of the
 community.
- The District works diligently to continue in-kind and match funding relationships to better leverage our county tax support for the success of the District's service to the community. Property tax income was \$108,376 for 2016 and \$108,458 for 2015. The RDA Increment Reduction, which is a charge of the Petaluma City Redevelopment Agency impacts, the taxes received by the District.

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

Overview of the Financial Statements. This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the District's basic financial statements. The District's basic financial statements comprise four components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, 3) fiduciary fund statement, and 4) notes to the basic financial statements themselves. This report also contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

Government-wide financial statements. The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the District's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The statement of net assets presents information on all of the District's assets and liabilities, with the difference between two reported as net assets. Over time, increases or decreases in net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or deteriorating.

The statement of activities presents information showing how the government's net assets changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net assets are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods (e.g., uncollected taxes and earned but unused vacation leave).

Both of the government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the District that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (governmental activities) from other functions that are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges (business-type activities).

The government-wide financial statements can be found on pages 11-13 of this report.

Fund financial statements. A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The District, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. The District's funds are governmental funds.

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

Governmental funds. Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a district's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the District's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statements of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The District uses governmental funds to account for its activities that improve the sustainability of natural resources (soil, water, and fisheries & wildlife) within the District boundaries. The District adopts an annual appropriated budget for its funds.

The basic governmental fund financial statements can be found on pages 14-22 of this report.

Fiduciary Fund – Fiduciary funds are used to account for funds held by the District in trust for other government agencies and private citizens.

Notes to the basic financial statements - The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes to the basic financial statements can be found on pages 23-37 of this report.

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

Government-Wide Financial Analysis

As noted earlier, net assets may serve over time as a useful indicator of a district's financial position. In the case of the Sonoma Resource Conservation District, assets exceeded liabilities by \$1,404,870 and \$1,281,644 at the close of the 2016 and 2015 fiscal years.

The balance of the unrestricted net position may be used to meet the District's ongoing obligations to citizens and vendors.

NET POSITION

	2016	_	2015
Cash and other current assets	\$ 794,704	\$	792,297
Investments	1,242,243		1,171,872
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	13,727	_	15,875
Total assets	\$ 2,050,674	\$	1,980,044
Liabilities due within one year	\$ 251,727	\$	290,681
Net pension liability	339,228		363,474
Note payable	12,100		3,619
Compensated absences	42,749		40,626
Total liabilities	\$ 645,804	\$	698,400
Invested in capital assets	\$ 13,727	\$	15,875
Permanently restricted fund balance	1,001,260		1,001,260
Temporarily restricted	240,983		116,708
Unrestricted net position	 148,900	_	147,801
Total net position	\$ 1,404,870	\$	1,281,644

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

At the end of the current fiscal year, the District is able to report positive balances in all categories of net assets.

CHANGES IN NET POSITION

Revenues:		2016		2015		
Program revenues:						
Intergovernmental revenue	\$	1,357,340	:	\$ 2,194,930		
Other grants		21,000		-		
Fee for service		468,500		82,880		
Other revenue	14,026			785		
General revenues:						
Property taxes		108,376		108,458		
Investment income	88,152			40,390		
Total revenues	2,057,394		2,057,394		•	2,427,443
Expenses:						
Resource conservation		1,934,168		2,332,519		
Change in net position	\$	123,226	;	\$ 94,924		

Financial Analysis of the District's Funds

As noted earlier, the District uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

<u>Governmental funds</u> – The focus of the District's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the District's financing requirements. In particular, unassigned fund balance may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

As of the end of the current fiscal year, the District's governmental funds reported ending fund balance of \$1,716,072, an increase of \$122,742 at the close of the 2016 fiscal year and a balance of \$1,593,330 an increase of \$88,939 at the close of the 2015 fiscal year.

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

There were no changes to the budget after it was approved by the Board.

Economic Factors and Next Year's Budget: The District expects lower property tax revenues to continue as a result of the economic downturn. The District will continue to apply for federal, state, and local grants and contracts. These factors were considered in preparing the District's budget for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2016 and 2015.

Requests for Information

The financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the District's finances for all those with an interest in the District's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be made in writing and addressed to the Sonoma Resource Conservation District, 1221 Farmers Lane, Suite F, Santa Rosa, CA 95405, Attn: Kara Heckert, Executive Director.

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT STATEMENT OF NET POSITION JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

ASSETS	 2016	 2015
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 205,604	\$ 242,585
Cash - restricted	70,422	70,422
Accounts receivable	466,238	340,216
Retentions receivable	48,601	128,438
Deposits and prepaid expenses	 3,839	 10,636
Total current assets	794,704	 792,297
Investments	 1,242,243	 1,171,872
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation:		
Vehicles	-	10,364
Equipment	 13,727	 5,511
Total capital assets	 13,727	 15,875
Total assets	\$ 2,050,674	\$ 1,980,044
LIABILITIES		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts and other payable	\$ 143,421	\$ 220,784
Deferred revenue	38,409	-
Fiscal agent liability	69,897	69,897
Total current liabilities	251,727	290,681
Long-term liabilities		
Compensated absences	42,749	40,626
Note payable	12,100	3,619
Deferred outflows		
Net pension liability	 339,228	 363,474
Total long-term liabilities	 394,077	 44,245
Total liabilities	\$ 645,804	\$ 698,400
Net Position:		
Invested in capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	\$ 13,727	\$ 15,875
Unrestricted net position	148,900	147,801
Temporarily restricted net position	240,983	116,708
Permanently restricted net position	1,001,260	1,001,260
Total net position	\$ 1,404,870	\$ 1,281,644

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

Program Expenses	2016	2015
Resource Conservation:		
Salaries and employee benefits	954,206	\$ 924,269
Services and supplies	972,220	1,398,703
Interest expense	1,324	1,149
Depreciation	6,418	8,398
Total program expenses	1,934,168	2,332,519
Program Revenues		
Operating Grants		
Intergovernmental revenue	1,357,340	2,194,930
Other grants	21,000	-
Fees for service	468,500	82,880
Other revenue	14,026	785
Total program revenue	1,860,866	2,278,595
Net program revenues (expenses)	(73,302)	(53,924)
General revenues		
Property taxes	108,376	108,458
Investment earnings	88,152	40,390
Total general revenues	196,528	148,848
Change in net position	123,226	94,924
Net position, beginning of the year	1,281,644	1,550,194
Prior period adjustment	-	(363,474)
Net position, beginning of the year restated	1,281,644	1,186,720
Net position, end of the year	\$ 1,404,870	\$ 1,281,644

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

	 2016		2015
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Cash received from government, grants, program revenue Cash paid to vendors and employees	\$ 1,917,404 (1,996,194) 88,153	\$	2,500,419 (2,386,123) 82,902
Investment income received Net cash provided by operations	 9,363	_	197,198
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Increase in unrestricted cash	_		(526)
Purchase of property and equipment	(4,270)		(10,094)
Investment transactions	(50,555)		(59,786)
Net cash used by investing activities	(54,825)		(70,406)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Principal payments on notes payable	 8,480		(5,051)
NET CHANGE IN CASH	(36,982)		121,741
CASH, beginning of year	 242,586		120,845
CASH, end of year	\$ 205,604	\$	242,586
RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME TO			
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Change in net assets	\$ 123,226	\$	94,924
Adjustments to reconcile change in net			
assets to cash from operations			
Depreciation and amortization	6,418		8,398
Unrealized loss on investments	(19,818)		42,512
(Increase) decrease in:			
Receivables	(46,185)		113,366
Prepaid expenses and other assets	6,797		3,838
Increase (decrease) in:			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(75,239)		(65,840)
Deferred outflows	(24,246)		
Deferred revenue	 38,410		
Total cash provided (used) by operations	\$ 9,363	\$	197,198

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

	2016			2015		
ASSETS						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	205,605	5	\$	242,585	
Due from other government entities		397,615			260,583	
Retention receivable		48,601			128,438	
Prepaid expenses and deposits		3,839			10,636	
Investments		1,242,243			1,171,872	
Total assets	\$	1,897,903	-	\$	1,814,114	
LIABILITIES and FUND BALANCE						
Liabilities:						
Vouchers payable	\$	106,039	5	\$	155,309	
Other current liabilities		75,792			65,475	
Total liabilities		181,831	_		220,784	
FUND BALANCE						
Unassigned fund balance		598,629			475,887	
Restricted fund balance		1,117,443			1,117,443	
Total fund balances		1,716,072	_		1,593,330	
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$	1,897,903	\$	6	1,814,114	

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

		2016	_	2015
Fund balances - total government funds	\$	1,716,072		\$ 1,593,330
Amount reported for governmental activities in the				
statement of net position is different because:				
Net revenues not used for governmental activities		69,148		23,418
Capital assets used in governmental activities				
are not financial resources and, therefore,				
are not reported in the governmental funds.		13,727		(15,875)
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current				
period and, therefore, are not reported in the				
governmental funds.				
Notes payable		(12,100)		3,619
Deferred outflows		(339,228)		(363,474)
Compensated absences	_	(42,749)		40,626
Net position of governmental activities	\$	1,404,870		\$ 1,281,644

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

	2016			2015	
REVENUES					
Property taxes	\$	108,376	\$	108,458	
Intergovernmental grants and revenue		1,393,563		2,251,213	
Fees for service		468,500		82,880	
Other revenue		14,026		725	
Unrealized losses on investments		19,818		(42,512)	
Investment earnings		68,334		82,902	
Total revenues		2,072,617	_	2,483,666	
EXPENDITURES					
Current:					
Salaries and employee benefits		976,331		924,267	
Services and supplies		972,220		1,469,311	
Debt sevice					
Interest		1,324		1,149	
Total expenditures	_	1,949,875	_	2,394,727	
Excess of revenue over (under) expenditures		122,742		88,939	
Fund balance, beginning of the year		1,593,330	_	1,504,391	
Fund balance, end of the year	\$	1,716,072	\$	1,593,330	

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT RECONCILIATION OF STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

	 2016		2015		
Amount reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities is different because:					
Net change in fund balance - governmental funds	\$ 122,742	\$	88,939		
Net change in restricted fund balances	(15,223)		17,317		
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures, however, in the statement of activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense					
Current year depreciation	(6,418)		(8,398)		
Change in current year deferred outflows	24,246		-		
Change in compensated absences reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, is not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.	 (2,121)		(2,934)		
Change in net assets of governmental activities	\$ 123,226	\$	94,924		

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION FIDUCIARY FUNDS JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

	Private Purpose Trust Fund				
		2016		2015	
ASSETS					
Cash and investments -					
Laguna Valley Mitigation Bank Trust	\$	70,422	\$	70,422	
NET POSITION					
Held in trust for Laguna Valley Mitigation Bank	\$	69,897	\$	69,897	

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET ASSETS FIDUCIARY FUNDS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

	Private Purpose Trust Fund				
		2016		2015	
ADDITIONS:					
Investment earnings	\$	-	\$	526	
Changes in fiduciary net position	\$	-	\$	526	
Fiduciary net position, beginning		70,422		69,896	
Fiduciary net position, ending	\$	70,422	\$	70,422	

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT STATEMENT OF RESTRICTED NET ASSETS JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

	Endowment					
		2016		2015		
ASSETS						
Investments	\$	1,242,243	\$	1,171,872		
						
NET ASSETS						
Permanently restricted net assets	\$	1,001,260	\$	1,001,260		

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN RESTRICTED NET ASSETS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

	Endowment			
		2016		2015
ADDITIONS: Investment earnings, net of unrealized gain (loss)	\$	48,516	\$	40,390
DEDUCTIONS: Education expenses		(48,516)		(40,390)
Changes in restricted net position		-		-
Permanently restricted net position, beginning		1,001,260		1,001,260
Permanently restricted net position, ending	\$	1,001,260	\$	1,001,260

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT STATEMENT OF REVENUE, EXPENDITURE AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET TO ACTUAL

GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

2016

	-	_010						
		Budgeted	l Amo	ounts				Variance Positive
	Original Final			Actual	(Negative)			
Revenues:								
Property taxes	\$	92,064	\$	89,923	\$	108,376	\$	18,453
Intergovernmental grants and revenue		-		1,282,852		1,393,563		110,711
Fee for service		-		667,179		468,500		(198,679)
Other revenue		-		-		14,026		14,026
Investment earnings		-		55,170		88,152		32,982
Total revenues		92,064		2,095,124		2,072,617		(22,507)
Expenditures, all current:								
Salaries and employee benefits		-		1,029,232		976,331		52,901
Services and supplies		90,800		1,024,815		972,220		52,595
Interest expense		1,040		_		1,324		(1,324)
Total expenditures		91,840		2,054,047	_	1,949,875		104,172
Excess of revenue over expenditures	\$	224	\$	41,077	\$	122,742	\$	81,665
	2	2015 Budgeted	l Ama	ounts				Variance Positive
			l Amo	ounts Final		Actual		
Revenues:		Budgeted	Ama			Actual		Positive
Revenues: Property taxes		Budgeted	Amo		\$	Actual 108,458		Positive
Property taxes Intergovernmental grants and revenue		Budgeted Original		Final	\$	108,458 2,251,213	1)	Positive Negative)
Property taxes Intergovernmental grants and revenue Fee for service		Budgeted Original 89,923		Final 89,923	\$	108,458 2,251,213 82,880	1)	Positive Negative) 18,535
Property taxes Intergovernmental grants and revenue		Budgeted Original 89,923 2,638,307 -		89,923 2,638,307	\$	108,458 2,251,213 82,880 725	1)	Positive Negative) 18,535 (387,094)
Property taxes Intergovernmental grants and revenue Fee for service Other revenue Investment earnings		Budgeted Original 89,923 2,638,307 - - 517		89,923 2,638,307 - - 517	\$	108,458 2,251,213 82,880 725 40,390	1)	Positive Negative) 18,535 (387,094) 39,873
Property taxes Intergovernmental grants and revenue Fee for service Other revenue		Budgeted Original 89,923 2,638,307 -		89,923 2,638,307	\$	108,458 2,251,213 82,880 725	1)	Positive Negative) 18,535 (387,094)
Property taxes Intergovernmental grants and revenue Fee for service Other revenue Investment earnings		Budgeted Original 89,923 2,638,307 - - 517		89,923 2,638,307 - - 517	\$	108,458 2,251,213 82,880 725 40,390	1)	Positive Negative) 18,535 (387,094) 39,873
Property taxes Intergovernmental grants and revenue Fee for service Other revenue Investment earnings Total revenues		Budgeted Original 89,923 2,638,307 - - 517		89,923 2,638,307 - - 517	\$	108,458 2,251,213 82,880 725 40,390	1)	Positive Negative) 18,535 (387,094) 39,873
Property taxes Intergovernmental grants and revenue Fee for service Other revenue Investment earnings Total revenues Expenditures:		Budgeted Original 89,923 2,638,307 - - 517		89,923 2,638,307 - - 517	\$	108,458 2,251,213 82,880 725 40,390	1)	Positive Negative) 18,535 (387,094) 39,873
Property taxes Intergovernmental grants and revenue Fee for service Other revenue Investment earnings Total revenues Expenditures: Current:		Budgeted Original 89,923 2,638,307 517 2,728,747		89,923 2,638,307 - - 517 2,728,747	\$	108,458 2,251,213 82,880 725 40,390 2,483,666 924,267 1,469,311	1)	Positive Negative) 18,535 (387,094) 39,873 (328,686) (17,036) 405,107
Property taxes Intergovernmental grants and revenue Fee for service Other revenue Investment earnings Total revenues Expenditures: Current: Salaries and employee benefits		Budgeted Original 89,923 2,638,307 517 2,728,747		Final 89,923 2,638,307 517 2,728,747	\$	108,458 2,251,213 82,880 725 40,390 2,483,666	1)	Positive Negative) 18,535 (387,094) 39,873 (328,686)
Property taxes Intergovernmental grants and revenue Fee for service Other revenue Investment earnings Total revenues Expenditures: Current: Salaries and employee benefits Services and supplies		Budgeted Original 89,923 2,638,307 517 2,728,747		Final 89,923 2,638,307 517 2,728,747		108,458 2,251,213 82,880 725 40,390 2,483,666 924,267 1,469,311	1)	Positive Negative) 18,535 (387,094) 39,873 (328,686) (17,036) 405,107

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Reporting Entity

The Sonoma Resource Conservation District (District) is a California special district governed by a nine-member board of directors who serve voluntarily and are appointed by the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors. The District develops soil and water conservation programs in Sonoma County of Division 9 of the Public Resources Code. The accompanying financial statements present the activities for which the District is considered to be financially accountable.

Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the primary government. Governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segments are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or section and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, continued

Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues are recognized when measurable and available. Taxes, interest, and charges for services are accrued when receipt occurs within three hundred sixty-five days of the end of the accounting period, so as to be both measurable and available. Licenses, permits, fines, forfeitures, and other revenues are recorded as revenues when received in cash, because they are generally not measurable until actually received. Property taxes are accrued when their receipt occurs within sixty days of the end of the accounting period. Expenditures are generally recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims judgments are recorded only when payment is due.

Amounts recorded as program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants for goods, services, or privileges provided and 2) capital grants and contributions. Internally dedicated resources are reported as general revenues rather than program revenues. Likewise, general revenues include all taxes.

Assets, Liabilities, and Net Position

Cash and Investments

The District reports certain investments at fair value in the balance sheet and recognizes the corresponding change in the fair value of investments in the year in which the change occurred.

<u>Fair Value Measures</u> – The District reports its fair value measures by using a fair value hierarchy defined by generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest ranking to fair values determined using unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest ranking to fair values determined using methodologies and models with unobservable inputs (Level 3).

The three level of the fair value hierarchy under GAAP are:

Level 1 – Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, continued

Fair Value Measures, continued -

Level 2- Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 – Prices for valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (inputs are supported by little or no market activity).

<u>Investments</u> – Investments are made up of stocks, mutual funds, treasury funds and certificates of deposits and are reported at their fair values in the statement of financial position. The fair value of the equities securities are based upon quoted prices in active markets (Level 1 measurements). Realized and unrealized gains and losses are included in the change in net assets and are included in the statement of activities as net realized and unrealized gains on investments.

Receivables and Payables

<u>Property Taxes</u> – The County of Sonoma is responsible for assessing, collecting and distributing property taxes in accordance with state law. Liens on real property are established January 1 for the ensuing fiscal year. The property tax is levied as of July 1 on all taxable property located in the County of Sonoma. Secured property taxes are due in two installments, on November 1 and February 1, and are delinquent after December 10 and April 10, respectively. Additionally, supplemental property taxes are levied on a pro rata basis when changes in assessed valuation occur due to sales transactions or the completion of construction.

Since the passage of California's Proposition 13, beginning with the fiscal year 1978/1979, general property taxes are based either on a flat 1% rate applied to the 1975/1976 full value, or on one percent of the sales price of the property on sales transactions and construction after the 1975/1976 valuation. Taxable values on properties (exclusive of increases related to sales and construction) can rise at a maximum of two percent per year.

On June 30, 1993, the board of Supervisors adopted the "Teeter" Method of property tax allocation. This method allocates property taxes based on the total property tax billed. At year-end, the County advances cash to each taxing jurisdiction equal to its current year delinquent property taxes. In exchange, the county receives the penalties and interest on delinquent taxes when collected. The penalties and interest are used to pay the interest cost of borrowing the cash used for the advances.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, continued

<u>Due from Other Governments</u> – This amount represents grant revenues which have been earned but not received at the end of the fiscal year.

Compensated Absences

Employees accumulate discretionary time. Discretionary time is accumulated up to two hundred forty hours and paid in full upon termination or retirement. Discretionary time is accrued when incurred in the government-wide financial statements.

Net Position

Net position is classified into three components - invested in capital assets (net of related debt), restricted and unassigned. These classifications are defined as follows:

- Invested in capital assets, net of related debt This category groups all capital assets, including infrastructure, into one component of net assets. Accumulated depreciation and the outstanding balances of debt that are attributed to the acquisition, construction or improvement of these assets reduce the balance in this category.
- Restricted net assets (if any) This category presents external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors or laws or regulations of other governments and restrictions imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- Unassigned This category of net position consists of fund positions that are not restricted for any project or other purpose.
- Temporarily Restricted Net positions that are subject to donor-imposed stipulations that may be fulfilled by actions of the District to meet the stipulations or that become unrestricted at the date specified by the donor.
- Permanently Restricted Net position subject to donor-imposed stipulations that they be retained and invested permanently by the District to use all or part of the investment return on this fund position for specified or unspecified purposes.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, continued

Fund Balance Classifications

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) released Statement 54-"Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions" (GASB 54) on March 11, 2009 which is effective for the District's fiscal year ending June 30, 2016 and 2015. This Statement is intended to improve the usefulness of the amounts reported in fund balance by providing more structured classifications. Under GASB 54, fund balance is reported under the following two classifications:

Restricted Fund Balance – consists of amounts that can be spent only for the specific purposes stipulated by external resource providers, constitutionally, or through enabling legislation. The Restricted for State Programs and Restricted for Federal Programs balances reflect amounts restricted for specific state and federal programs as mandated by respective state and federal grant or funding agreements. The Restricted for Debt Service and Restricted for Capital Projects balances reflect amounts that are restricted for debt service and construction or other capital outlay projects.

<u>Unassigned Fund Balance</u> – consists of any remaining fund balance that has not been reported in any other classification. For the purpose of fund balance classification, the District's policy is to have expenditures spent from the restricted fund balances first, followed in order by committed fund balance (if any), assigned fund balance (if any), and last unassigned fund balance.

In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report reservations of fund balance for amounts that are not available for appropriation or are legally restricted by outside parties for use for a specific purpose. Designations of fund balance represent tentative management plans that are subject to change.

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates; the financial statements include some amounts that are based on management's best estimates and judgments. The most significant estimates include the collectability of property taxes in determining the allowance for uncollectible taxes, depreciation lives and methods, and compensated absences. These estimates may be adjusted as more current information becomes available and any adjustment could be significant.

NOTE 2 STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE, AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Budgetary Information

Budgetary revenue estimates represent original estimates modified for any authorized adjustments, which were contingent upon new or additional revenue resources. Budgetary expenditure amounts represent original appropriations adjusted by budget transfers and authorized appropriation adjustments made during the year. All budgets are adopted on a non-GAAP basis.

NOTE 3 DETAILED NOTES

Cash and Investments

The District maintains cash balances at local financial institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) up to \$250,000. At times during the year, the District held cash and cash equivalent balances in excess of federally insured limits. The County receives the funds that are immediately transferred to the District's cash account.

At June 30, cash is as follows:

	 2016	2015		
Cash	\$ 205,604	\$	242,585	
Restricted cash	 70,422		70,422	
Total	\$ 276,026	\$	313,007	

NOTE 4 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS AND INVESTMENTS

The following table presents the assets and liabilities recognized in the accompanying statements of financial position that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which those fair value measurements fall at June 30, 2016 and 2015:

		Level 1				
	0	6/30/2016	06	6/30/2015		
Equities	\$	9,610	\$	9,677		
Municipal bonds		1,232,634		1,162,196		
Total	\$	1,242,244	\$	1,171,873		

NOTE 4 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS AND INVESTMENTS, continued

Investment earnings are as follows for the year ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	06/	/30/2016	06/30/2015			
Interest and dividend income	\$	68,334	\$	63,425		
Unrealized gain (loss)		19,817		(42,512)		
Total	\$	88,151	\$	20,913		

NOTE 5 OTHER INFORMATION

Operating Lease

The District leases its facilities under a five-year operating lease that expires January 2019. Rent expense for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016 and 2015 is \$46,068 and \$46,068. Future minimum rental payments required under the above operating are as follows:

2017	\$ 46,068
2018	46,068
2019	20,365

Risk Management

The District is covered for commercial and general liability and errors and omissions, as well as automobile and excess liability insurance. The District purchases its insurance coverage through the Special Districts Risk Management Association (SDRMA). Workers' Compensation Insurance is also purchased through the SDRMA.

NOTE 5 OTHER INFORMATION, continued

Capital Assets

Capital asset activity for the year ending June 30, 2016 and 2015 are as follows:

		2016							
	Beginning						Ending		
Conital annual bairne de marrieta d		Balance	A	dditions	Retire	ements	В	alance	
Capital assets, being depreciated: Vehicles	\$	33,452	\$		\$		\$	33,452	
Equipment	Ψ	51,265	Ψ	14,365	Ψ	_	Ψ	65,630	
Total capital assets, being									
depreciated		84,717		14,365				99,082	
Less accumulated depreciation for:									
Vehicles		(23,088)		(10,364)		-		(33,452)	
Equipment		(45,754)		(6,149)		_		(51,903)	
Total accumulated depreciation Total capital assets, being		(68,842)		(16,513)				(85,355)	
depreciated, net	\$	15,875	\$	(2,148)	\$		\$	13,727	
		2015							
	В	eginning					E	Ending	
		Balance	Α	dditions	Retire	ements	В	alance	
Capital assets, being depreciated:									
Vehicles	\$	33,452	\$	10.004	\$	-	\$	33,452	
Equipment		51,265	_	10,094				61,359	
Total capital assets, being depreciated		84,717		10,094		-		94,811	
Less accumulated depreciation for:									
Vehicles		(23,088)		(5,422)		-		(28,510)	
Equipment		(47,450)		(2,976)		_		(50,426)	
Total accumulated depreciation Total capital assets, being		(70,538)		(8,398)				(78,936)	
depreciated, net	\$	14,179	\$	1,696	\$	-	\$	15,875	

NOTE 5 OTHER INFORMATION, continued

Changes in long-term liabilities

Long term liability activity for the year ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 is as follows:

2016										
	Be	ginning					E	Ending	Du	e Within
	В	alance	A	dditions	Red	ductions	В	alance	Or	ne Year
Compensated absences	\$	40,626	\$	2,123			\$	42,749		
Note payable		3,619		8,481				12,100	\$	12,100
Total long-term liabilities	\$	44,245	\$	10,604	\$	-	\$	54,849	\$	12,100
		2	202	15						
	Be	ginning					E	Ending	Du	e Within
	В	alance	A	dditions	Red	ductions	В	alance	Or	ne Year
Compensated absences	\$		\$	40,626			\$	40,626		_
	Φ	-	Ψ	40,020			Ψ	40,020		
Note payable	Φ	8,670	Ψ	-	\$	(5,051)	Ψ	3,619	\$	3,619

NOTE 6 EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLAN

The District has a retirement plan with CalPERS that is no longer active. However, the District pays the unfunded liability annually. Information about the retirement plan can be found below:

Employee Retirement Plan

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position and additions to/deductions from the fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the CalPERS Financial Office. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when currently due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value. CalPERS audited financial statements are publicly available reports that can be obtained at CalPERS' website under Forms and Publications.

NOTE 6 EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLAN, continued

GASB 68 requires that the reported results must pertain to liability and asset information within certain defined timeframes. For this report, the following timeframes are used.

Valuation Date (VD) 6/30/2014

Measurement Date (MD) 6/30/2015

Measurement Period (MP) 07/01/2014-06/30/2015

Plan Description, Benefits Covered and Employees Covered

The Plan is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS). A full description of the pension plan benefit provisions, assumptions for funding purposes but not accounting purposes, and membership information is listed in the June 30, 2013 Annual Actuarial Valuation Report. Details of the benefits provided can be obtained in Appendix B of the June 30, 2013 actuarial valuation report. This report is a publicly available valuation report that can be obtained at CalPERS' website under Forms and Publications.

Contribution Description

Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law (PERL) requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. The total plan contributions are determined through the CalPERS' annual actuarial valuation process. For public agency cost-sharing plans covered by either the Miscellaneous or Safety risk pools, the

Contribution Description, continued

Plan's actuarially determined rate is based on the estimated amount necessary to pay the Plan's allocated share of the risk pool's costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, and any unfunded accrued liability. The employer is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees. For the measurement period ended June 30, 2015 (the measurement date), the active employee contribution rate is 7.947 percent of annual pay, and the average employer's contribution rate is 14.676 percent of annual payroll. Employer contributions rates may change if plan contracts are amended. It is the responsibility of the employer to make necessary accounting adjustments to reflect the impact due to any Employer Paid Member Contributions or situations where members are paying a portion of the employer contribution.

NOTE 6 EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLAN, continued

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Total Pension Liability

For the measurement period ending June 30, 2015 (the measurement date), the total pension liability was determined by rolling forward the June 30, 2014 total pension liability. Both the June 30, 2014 total pension liability and the June 30, 2015 total pension liability were based on the following actuarial methods and assumptions:

Astronical Coat Matteral	Entry Age Normal in accordance with the requirements of GAS						
Actuarial Cost Method	Statement No. 68						
Actuarial Assumptions							
Discount Rate	7.50%						
Inflation	2.75%						
Salary Increases	Varies by Entry Age and Service						
Investment Rate of Return	7.50% Net of Pension Plan Investment and Administrative						
investment Rate of Return	Expenses; includes Inflation						
Mortality Rate Table	Derived using CalPERS' Membership Data for all Funds						
Post Patirament Panafita Ingrassa	Contract COLA up to 2.75% until Purchasing Power Protection						
Post Retirement Benefits Increase	Allowance Floor on Purchasing Power applies, 2.75% thereafter						

All other actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2014 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the fiscal years 1997 to 2011, including updates to salary increase, mortality and retirement rates. The Experience Study report can be obtained at CalPERS' website under Forms and Publications.

Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.50 percent. To determine whether the municipal bond rate should be used in the calculation of a discount rate for each plan, CalPERS stress tested plans that would most likely result in a discount rate that would be different from the actuarially assumed discount rate. Based on the testing, none of the tested plans run out of assets. Therefore, the current 7.50 percent discount rate is adequate and the use of the municipal bond rate calculation is not necessary. The long term expected discount rate of 7.50 percent is applied to all plans in the Public Employees Retirement Fund. The stress test results are presented in a detailed report called "GASB Crossover Testing Report" that can be obtained at CalPERS' website under the GASB 68 section.

NOTE 6 EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLAN, continued

According to Paragraph 30 of Statement 68, the long-term discount rate should be determined without reduction for pension plan administrative expense. The 7.50 percent investment return assumption used in this accounting valuation is net of administrative expenses. Administrative expenses are assumed to be 15 basis points. An investment return excluding administrative expenses would have been 7.65 percent. Using this lower discount rate has resulted in a slightly higher total pension liability and net pension liability. This difference was deemed immaterial to the Public Agency Cost-Sharing Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan. However, employers may determine the impact at the plan level for their own financial reporting purposes.

CalPERS is scheduled to review all actuarial assumptions as part of its regular Asset Liability Management review cycle that is scheduled to be completed in February 2018. Any changes to the discount rate will require Board action and proper stakeholder outreach. For these reasons, CalPERS expects to continue using a discount rate net of administrative expenses for GASB 67 and 68 calculations through at least the 2017-18 fiscal year. CalPERS will continue to check the materiality of the difference in calculation until such time as we have changed our methodology.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, staff took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows.

Such cash flows were developed assuming that both members and employers will make their required contributions on time and as scheduled in all future years. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound (geometric) returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11-60 years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equivalent to the single equivalent rate calculated above and rounded down to the nearest one quarter of one percent.

The table below reflects long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These geometric rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

NOTE 6 EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLAN, continued

Discount Rate, continued

Asset Class	New Strategic Allocation	Real Return Years 1 - 101	Real Return Years 11+2
Global Equity	47%	5%	6%
Global Fixed Income	1900%	99%	243%
Inflation Sensitive	600%	45%	336%
Private Equity	1200%	683%	695%
Real Estate	1100%	450%	513%
Infrastructure and Forestland	300%	450%	509%
Liquidity	200%	-55%	-105%

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

The plan fiduciary net position disclosed in your GASB 68 accounting valuation report may differ from the plan assets reported in your funding actuarial valuation report due to several reasons. First, for the accounting valuations, CalPERS must keep items such as deficiency reserves, fiduciary self-insurance and OPEB expense included in fiduciary net position. These amounts are excluded for rate setting purposes in your funding actuarial valuation. In addition, differences may result from early CAFR closing and final reconciled reserves.

Prior Period Restatement

The District recorded a prior period restatement to correct a difference deferred outflow for net pension liability. The Government Accounting Standards Board issued Statement Number 68 that requires all pension plan participants to disclose particular information in their financial statements including the deferred outflow of the net pension liability as well as the supplemental supportive information. The financial statements were corrected for the year ended June 30, 2016 as well as the prior year as shown comparatively.

The District has a Simple IRA plan for their employees. The District contributes three percent annually to the plan.

NOTE 7 ENDOWMENT

The District endowment consists of funds designated by donors to function as an endowment. Net assets associated with endowment funds are classified and reported based on the existence or absence of donor-imposed restrictions.

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

NOTE 7 ENDOWMENT, continued

Interpretation of Relevant Law

The Board of Directors of the District has interpreted California's enacted version of the *Uniform Prudent Management of Institutional Funds Act* (UPMIFA) as requiring the preservation of the fair market value of the donor-restricted endowment funds absent explicit donor stipulations to the contrary.

In accordance with UPMIFA, the District considers the following factors in making a determination to appropriate or accumulate donor-restricted endowment funds:

- (1) The duration and preservation of the fund
- (2) The purposes of the Organization and the donor-restricted endowment fund
- (3) General economic conditions
- (4) The possible effect of inflation and deflation
- (5) The expected total return from income and the appreciation of investments
- (6) Other resources of the Organization
- (7) The investment policies of the Organization

Endowment net asset composition as of June 30, 2016:

Permanently restricted endowment	\$ 1,001,260
Changes in endowment for the year ended June 30, 2016:	
Endowment net assets, beginning of year	\$ 1,001,260
Additions to permanently restricted endowment	48,516
Deductions from permanently restricted endowment	(48,516)
Endowment net assets, end of year	\$1,001,260

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016 and 2015

.

NOTE 7 ENDOWMENT, continued

Endowment net asset composition as of June 30, 2015:

Permanently restricted endowment	\$ 1,001,260
Changes in endowment for the year ended June 30, 2015:	
Endowment net assets, beginning of year	\$ 1,001,260
Additions to permanently restricted endowment	40,390
Deductions from permanently restricted endowment	(40,390)
Endowment net assets, end of year	\$1,001,260

From time to time, the fair value of assets associated with individual donor-restricted endowment funds may fall below the level that the donor or UPMIFA requires the District to retain as a fund of perpetual duration.

NOTE 8 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The District has evaluated subsequent events through January 11, 2017, the date the financial statements were available to be issued. The financial statements were revised January 22, 2018 because a material issue was found after the financials were originally issued.

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT ROSTER OF BOARD MEMBERS

Regular Meetings

The regular meetings of the Board of Directors are generally held the fourth Wednesday of each month starting at 2 pm, at 1221 Farmers Lane, Suite F Conference Room in Santa Rosa, California. Meetings are open to the public.

Directors:	Office:
Walt Ryan	President
Vickie Mulas	Vice President
Dennis Murphy	Director
Ron Rolleri	Director
Steve Thomas	Director
Earle Cummings	Director
Hector Bedolla	Associate Director
Matt Greene	Associate Director
Raymond Krauss	Associate Director
Paul Martin	Associate Director

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE: National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration Passed through California Department of Fish and Wildlife Austin Creek Sediment Reduction P1030411 11.438 79.096 Porter Creek Instream Habitat Restoration Project P1430404 11.438 15.108 Felta Creek P1030410 11.438 15.108 Grape Creek P1030410 11.438 15.108 Grape Creek P1030406 11.438 15.108 Grape Creek P1030410 11.438 15.108 Grape Creek P1030406 11.438 15.108 Grape Creek P1030410 11.438 15.108 Fish and Wildlife Foundation NFWF 2004.13.039588 15.663 101.718 Fish and Wildlife Service passed through Service passed through Center for Ecosystem Management and Restoration NFWF-005 15.663 87.371 Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 5 NFWF-005 15.663 87.371 Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 6 NFWF-006 15.663 85.244 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE: Passed through California Coastal Conservancy Farm Bill 286 68-9104-5-285 10.912 20.929 Passed through National Resource Conservation service WAQT 69.3475.12.19 *** 10.912 20.929 Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust 10.912 79.756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Passed through Marin Municipal Water District EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57.514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11.094-551 66.460 91.866 10.914 11.438 11.094-551 66.460 91.866 11.094-551 66.460 91.866 11.094-551 66.460 91.866 11.094 11.438 11.094-551 66.460 91.866 11.094-551 66.460 91.866 11.094-551 66.460 91.866 11.094-551 66.460 91.866 11.094-551 66.460 91.866 11.094-551 66.460	Federal Agency	Contract Num	ber	CFDA	Expenditures
Passed through California Department of Fish and Wildlife Austin Creek Sediment Reduction P1030411 11.438 79.096 Porter Creek Instream Habitat Restoration Project P1430404 11.438 79.096 Felta Creek P1030410 11.438 15.108 Grape Creek P1030410 11.438 15.108 Grape Creek P1430406 11.438 15.2665 DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR: NFWF 2004.13.039588 15.663 101.718 Fish and Wildlife Foundation Conservation Partners - Phase NFWF 2004.13.039588 15.663 101.718 Fish and Wildlife Service passed through NFWF-005 15.663 87.371 Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 5 NFWF-006 15.663 85.244 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE: Passed through California Coastal Conservancy Farm Bill 285 68-9104-5-285 10.912 82.019 Farm Bill 285 68-9104-5-285 10.912 20.929 Passed through National Resource Conservation service WAQT 69.3A75.12.19 **** 10.912 20.265 AWEP 68-9104-5-313 **** 10.912 26.183 Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust Landsmart Plans for NRCS RCPP *** 10.912 79.756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Passed through Marin Municipal Water District EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57.514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11.094-551 66.460 91.866 12.401-251					
Austin Creek Sediment Reduction P1030411 11.438 598 Porter Creek Instream Habitat Restoration Project P1430404 11.438 79.096 Felta Creek P1030410 11.438 15.108 Grape Creek P1430406 11.438 15.065 DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR: National Fish and Wildlife Foundation Conservation Partners - Phase II NFWF 2004.13.039588 15.663 101,718 Fish and Wildlife Service passed through Center for Ecosystem Management and Restoration Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 5 NFWF-005 15.663 87.311 Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 6 NFWF-006 15.663 85.244 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE: Passed through California Coastal Conservancy Farm Bill 285 68-9104-5-285 10.912 20.929 Passed through National Resource Conservation service WAQT 69.3A75.12.19 **** 10.912 10.265 AWEP 68-9104-5-313 **** 10.912 79.756	National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration				
Porter Creek Instream Habitat Restoration Project P1430404 11.438 79,096 Felta Creek P1030410 11.438 15,108 Grape Creek P1430406 11.438 15,108 DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR: National Fish and Wildlife Foundation Conservation Partners - Phase II NFWF 2004.13.039588 15.663 101,718 Fish and Wildlife Service passed through Center for Ecosystem Management and Restoration Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 5 NFWF-005 15.663 87,371 Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 6 NFWF-006 15.663 85,244 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE: Passed through California Coastal Conservancy Farm Bill 286 68-9104-5-285 10.912 82,019 Farm Bill 286 68-9104-4-256 **** 10.912 20,929 Passed through National Resource Conservation service WAQT 69.3A75.12.19 **** 10.912 102,265 AWEP 68-9104-5-313	Passed through California Department of Fish and Wildlift	^f e			
Felta Creek	Austin Creek Sediment Reduction	P1030411		11.438	598
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR: National Fish and Wildlife Foundation Conservation Partners - Phase NFWF 2004.13.039588 15.663 101,718	Porter Creek Instream Habitat Restoration Project	P1430404		11.438	79,096
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR: National Fish and Wildlife Foundation	Felta Creek	P1030410		11.438	15,108
National Fish and Wildlife Foundation Conservation Partners - Phase II NFWF 2004.13.039588 15.663 101,718 Fish and Wildlife Service passed through Fish and Wildlife Service passed through Fish and Wildlife Service passed through Center for Ecosystem Management and Restoration NFWF-005 15.663 87,371 Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 6 NFWF-006 15.663 85,244 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE: Passed through California Coastal Conservancy Farm Bill 285 68-9104-5-285 10.912 82,019 Farm Bill 286 68-9104-4-256 **** 10.912 20,929 Passed through National Resource Conservation service **** 10.912 20,929 Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust **** 10.912 26,183 Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust **** 10.912 79,756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **** 10.912 79,756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Passed through Marin Municipal Water District EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board 66.460 77,534	Grape Creek	P1430406		11.438	152,665
Conservation Partners - Phase II	DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR:				
Fish and Wildlife Service passed through Center for Ecosystem Management and Restoration Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 5 NFWF-005 15.663 87,371 Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 6 NFWF-006 15.663 85,244 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE: Passed through California Coastal Conservancy Farm Bill 285 68-9104-5-285 10.912 82,019 Farm Bill 256 68-9104-4-256 *** 10.912 20,929 Passed through National Resource Conservation service WAQT 69.3A75.12.19 *** 10.912 102,265 AWEP 68-9104-5-313 *** 10.912 26,183 Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust Landsmart Plans for NRCS RCPP *** 10.912 79,756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY *** 10.912 79,756 EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-	National Fish and Wildlife Foundation				
Center for Ecosystem Management and Restoration Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 5 NFWF-005 15.663 87,371 Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 6 NFWF-006 15.663 85,244 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE: Passed through California Coastal Conservancy Farm Bill 285 68-9104-5-285 10.912 82,019 Farm Bill 256 68-9104-4-256 *** 10.912 20,929 Passed through National Resource Conservation service WAQT 69.3A75.12.19 *** 10.912 102,265 AWEP 68-9104-5-313 *** 10.912 26,183 Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust Landsmart Plans for NRCS RCPP *** 10.912 79,756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY *** 10.912 79,756 Passed through Marin Municipal Water District EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252	Conservation Partners - Phase II	NFWF 2004.13.03	9588	15.663	101,718
Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 5 NFWF-005 15.663 87,371 Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 6 NFWF-006 15.663 85,244 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE: Passed through California Coastal Conservancy Farm Bill 285 68-9104-5-285 10.912 82,019 Farm Bill 256 68-9104-4-256 *** 10.912 20,929 Passed through National Resource Conservation service WAQT 69.3A75.12.19 *** 10.912 102,265 AWEP 68-9104-5-313 *** 10.912 26,183 Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust Landsmart Plans for NRCS RCPP *** 10.912 79,756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Passed through Marin Municipal Water District EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460	Fish and Wildlife Service passed through				
Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 6 NFWF-006 15.663 85,244 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE: Passed through California Coastal Conservancy Farm Bill 285 68-9104-5-285 10.912 82,019 Farm Bill 256 68-9104-4-256 *** 10.912 20,929 Passed through National Resource Conservation service *** 10.912 102,265 AWEP 68-9104-5-313 *** 10.912 26,183 Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust *** 10.912 79,756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY *** 10.912 79,756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY *** 10.912 79,756 EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460 —	Center for Ecosystem Management and Restoration				
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE: Passed through California Coastal Conservancy Farm Bill 285 68-9104-5-285 10.912 82,019 Farm Bill 256 68-9104-4-256 *** 10.912 20,929 Passed through National Resource Conservation service WAQT 69.3A75.12.19 *** 10.912 102,265 AWEP 68-9104-5-313 *** 10.912 26,183 Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust Landsmart Plans for NRCS RCPP *** 10.912 79,756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Passed through Marin Municipal Water District EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460 —-	Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 5	NFWF-005		15.663	87,371
Passed through California Coastal Conservancy Farm Bill 285 68-9104-5-285 10.912 82,019 Farm Bill 256 68-9104-4-256 *** 10.912 20,929 Passed through National Resource Conservation service WAQT 69.3A75.12.19 *** 10.912 102,265 AWEP 68-9104-5-313 *** 10.912 26,183 Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust Landsmart Plans for NRCS RCPP *** 10.912 79,756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Passed through Marin Municipal Water District EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460 -	Russian River Coho Water Resources - year 6	NFWF-006		15.663	85,244
Farm Bill 285 68-9104-5-285 10.912 82,019 Farm Bill 256 68-9104-4-256 *** 10.912 20,929 Passed through National Resource Conservation service *** 10.912 102,265 WAQT 69.3A75.12.19 *** 10.912 102,265 AWEP 68-9104-5-313 *** 10.912 26,183 Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust Landsmart Plans for NRCS RCPP *** 10.912 79,756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Passed through Marin Municipal Water District EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460 -	DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE:				
Farm Bill 256 68-9104-4-256 *** 10.912 20,929 Passed through National Resource Conservation service WAQT 69.3A75.12.19 *** 10.912 102,265 AWEP 68-9104-5-313 *** 10.912 26,183 Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust Landsmart Plans for NRCS RCPP *** 10.912 79,756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Passed through Marin Municipal Water District EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460 -	Passed through California Coastal Conservancy				
Passed through National Resource Conservation service WAQT 69.3A75.12.19 *** 10.912 102,265 AWEP 68-9104-5-313 *** 10.912 26,183 Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust Landsmart Plans for NRCS RCPP *** 10.912 79,756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY *** 10.912 79,756 Passed through Marin Municipal Water District EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460 -	Farm Bill 285	68-9104-5-285		10.912	82,019
WAQT 69.3A75.12.19 *** 10.912 102,265 AWEP 68-9104-5-313 *** 10.912 26,183 Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust Landsmart Plans for NRCS RCPP *** 10.912 79,756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Passed through Marin Municipal Water District EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460 -	Farm Bill 256	68-9104-4-256	***	10.912	20,929
AWEP 68-9104-5-313 *** 10.912 26,183 Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust Landsmart Plans for NRCS RCPP *** 10.912 79,756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Passed through Marin Municipal Water District EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460 -	Passed through National Resource Conservation service				
Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust Landsmart Plans for NRCS RCPP *** 10.912 79,756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Passed through Marin Municipal Water District EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460 -	WAQT	69.3A75.12.19	***	10.912	102,265
Landsmart Plans for NRCS RCPP *** 10.912 79,756 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Passed through Marin Municipal Water District EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460 -	AWEP	68-9104-5-313	***	10.912	26,183
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Passed through Marin Municipal Water District EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460 -	Passed through Marin Agricultural Land Trust				
Passed through Marin Municipal Water District EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460 -	Landsmart Plans for NRCS RCPP		***	10.912	79,756
EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460 -	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY				
EPA Estuary 2100 00734101-0 66.461 57,514 Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460 -	Passed through Marin Municipal Water District				
Passed through California State Water Control Resources Board: SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460 -	,	00734101-0		66.461	57,514
SCAPOSD 84 11-094-551 66.460 77,534 319 (H) 12-401-251 66.460 91,866 Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460 -		s Board:			
Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning 12-405-252 66.460				66.460	77,534
	319 (H)	12-401-251		66.460	91,866
Total Federal expenditures \$ 1,059,866	Laguna de Santa Rosa Farm Water Quality Planning	12-405-252		66.460	
	Total Federal expenditures				\$ 1,059,866



SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION JUNE 30, 2016

SCHEDULE OF THE PLAN'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS AS OF THE MEASUREMENT DATE IN RELATION TO PERF C

Net pension liability and fiduciary net position are allocated to the pension are allocated to the plan based on its propositions as a percentage of the total plan (PERF C, excluding the 1959 Survivors Risk Pool), which includes both the Miscellaneous or Safety within PERF C. Therefore, to assist employers in meeting the requirements of GASB 68, proportions shown in the table below represent the plan's proportion of PERF C, excluding the 1959 Survivors Risk Pool, and not its proportions of the Miscellaneous Risk Pool.

	06	6/30/2015
Plan's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset)		0.00483%
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset)	\$	339,228
Plan's Covered-Employee Payroll	\$	-
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset) as a Percentage of its Covered-Employee Payroll		0.00%
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Plan's Total Pension Liability		0.00249%
Plan's Proportionate Share of Aggregate Employer Contributions	\$	-

SCHEDULE OF PLAN CONTRIBUTIONS

	Fiscal Y	'ear
	2014-20	015
Actuarially Determined Contribution	\$	-
Contributions in Relation to the Actuarially Determined Contribution		
Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	\$	_
Covered-Employee Payroll	\$	-
Contributions as a Percentage of Covered-Employee Payroll		0%

SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT
NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTAL AND REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of Sonoma Resource Conservation District and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 200 *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements of Federal Awards.* Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.

NOTE 2 DE MINIMUS COST RATE

The District has elected to use the de minimus cost rate of ten percent for the year ended June 30, 2016.

NOTE 3 GASB 68 DISCLOSURE

Change in Benefit Terms: The figures above do not include any liability impact that may have resulted from plan changes which occurred after June 30, 2014 as they have minimal cost impact. This applies for voluntary benefit changes as well as any offers of Two Years Additional Service Credit (a.k.a. Golden Handshakes). Employers that have done so may need to report this information as a separate liability in their financial statement as CalPERS considers such amounts to be separately financed employer-specific liabilities. These employers should consult with their auditors.

Change in Assumptions: None

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT OF INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Directors of Sonoma Resource Conservation District Santa Rosa, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Sonoma Resource Conservation District, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Sonoma Resource Conservation District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 11, 2017.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Sonoma Resource Conservation District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Sonoma Resource Conservation District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Sonoma Resource Conservation District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Sonoma Resource Conservation District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Goranson and Associates, Inc.

January 11, 2017 Santa Rosa, California

Goranson and Associates, Inc.

January 22, 2018 Santa Rosa, California



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

To the Board of Directors of Sonoma Resource Conservation District Santa Rosa, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the Sonoma Resource Conservation District's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the Sonoma Resource Conservation District's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016. Sonoma Resource Conservation District's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the Sonoma Resource Conservation District's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Sonoma Resource Conservation District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Sonoma Resource Conservation District's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the Sonoma Resource Conservation District, complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed no instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the Sonoma Resource Conservation District, is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Sonoma Resource Conservation District's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Sonoma Resource Conservation District's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Goranson and Associates, Inc.

January 11, 2017 Santa Rosa, California

Goranson and Associates, Inc.

January 22, 2018 Santa Rosa, California



SONOMA RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS, FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

Time medial	Statements:
Financiai	Statements

Type of auditor's report issued UNMODIFIED

Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weaknesses identified?

Significant deficiencies identified that are

not considered to be material weaknesses?

Non-compliance material to financial statements noted?

Federal Awards:

Internal control over major programs:

Material weaknesses identified?

Signifigant deficiencies identified that are

not considered to be material weaknesses?

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: UNMODIFIED

NO

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported

in accordance with Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 200 Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost

Principles, and Audit Requirements of Federal Awards

Identification of major programs:

	CFDA Number
Farm Bill 285	10.912
Farm Bill 256	10.912
WAQT	10.912
AWEP	10.912

Dollar Threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B Programs: \$ 750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?

FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Financial Statement Audit findings or questioned costs?

Major Federal Awards Program findings or questioned costs?

NO